

1  
2 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
3 DISTRICT OF NEVADA  
4

5 JOSE CESAR CAMACHO,

6 Petitioner,

7 v.

8 JOSEPH MICHAEL LOMBARDO, *et al.*,

9 Respondents.  
10

Case No. 2:18-cv-02085-GMN-GWF

ORDER

11  
12 This *pro se* habeas petition, under 28 U.S.C. § 2241, was filed by Jose Cesar  
13 Camacho in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California on  
14 October 24, 2018, and was transferred to this Court on October 29, 2018 (ECF  
15 Nos. 1, 2). This Court screened the petition on November 1, 2018, and ordered  
16 Camacho to amend his petition to correct certain deficiencies, and also ordered  
17 Camacho to show cause: (a) why the action should not be dismissed without prejudice  
18 because of his failure to exhaust his claims in state court, and (b) why the action should  
19 not be dismissed without prejudice under the *Younger* abstention doctrine. See Order  
20 filed November 1, 2018 (ECF No. 5). Camacho was to do both by December 17, 2018.  
21 See *id.*

22 On December 17, 2018, the Court received from Camacho a Notice of Change of  
23 Address and Motion to Transfer Case (ECF Nos. 9, 10). In that document, Camacho  
24 gives notice that he has been extradited to California and is now incarcerated in Marin  
25 County, California; he requests, therefore, that this action be transferred back to the  
26 United States District Court for the Northern District of California.

27 In view of Camacho's notification that he is now in jail in Marin County, the Court  
28 will relieve Camacho of the November 1, 2018, order to show cause, and will grant his

1 motion to transfer this case to the United States District Court for the Northern District of  
2 California.

3 The proper jurisdiction and venue for a petition under Section 2241 is the district  
4 in which the petitioner is in custody. *See Braden v. 30th Judicial Circuit Court*, 410 U.S.  
5 484, 495-99 (1973). Camacho is incarcerated in Marin County, California, which is  
6 within the United States District Court for the Northern District of California. Accordingly,  
7 in the interest of justice, and pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a), this Court will order this  
8 action transferred back to the United States District Court for the Northern District of  
9 California.

10 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that the petitioner is relieved of the requirement  
11 that he show cause why this action should not be dismissed, as set forth in the order  
12 filed on November 1, 2018 (ECF No. 5).

13 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the petitioner's Motion to Transfer Case (ECF  
14 No. 10) is **GRANTED**. This action is transferred to the United States District Court for  
15 the Northern District of California. The Clerk of the Court is directed to transfer this  
16 action to that court forthwith.

17 DATED THIS 19 day of December, 2018.

18  
19  
20   
21 GLORIA M. NAVARRO,  
22 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28